UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

ZENITH ELECTRONICS CORPORATION,

Case No. 5:06-cv-00017-DF

Plaintiff,

v.

THOMSON INC.; THOMSON SA; PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION; KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.; TTE TECHNOLOGY, INCORPORATED; TTE CORPORATION; PIONEER ELECTRONICS (USA), INCORPORATED; AND PIONEER CORPORATION,

Defendants.

AGREED ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

WHEREAS: (1) on January 30, 2006, Plaintiff Zenith Electronics Corporation ("Zenith") filed a Complaint with this Court commencing this civil action against, among other parties, Defendant Philips Electronics North America Corporation and Defendant Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. (collectively, the "Philips Defendants"); (2) on April 20, 2006, Zenith filed an Amended Complaint against the Philips Defendants and others in this civil action; (3) on May 17, 2006, the Philips Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss; (4) on June 21, 2006, Zenith filed an Opposition to the Phillips Defendants' Motion to Dismiss; (5) on July 14, 2006, the Philips Defendants filed a Reply In Support of Their Motion to Dismiss; (6) on August 21, 2006, Zenith filed a Second Amended Complaint against the Philips Defendants and others in this civil action; (7) on September 15, 2006, Zenith and the Philips Defendants filed a Stipulation

Case (5a96-5v90901-70-00F7-00fcument 11804ntF11801 03F116/00703/P15/002070f2 Pragge 1200#24019

Regarding Responsive Pleading to the Second Amended Complaint; (8) Zenith and the Philips

Defendants have reached a mutually satisfactory resolution of all issues between them that were

the subject of this Action; and (9) after considering the Stipulation of Dismissal, the Court is of

the opinion that the Stipulation of Dismissal should be granted and therefore, it is hereby:

(1) ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the action between Zenith and the

Philips Defendants be dismissed with prejudice;

(2) ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that each of the parties shall bear its

own costs, expenses, and attorney fees associated with the prosecution and defense of this

matter;

(3) ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court retains exclusive

jurisdiction over this matter for purposes of enforcement of this settlement; and

(4) ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the unresolved pending Motion to

Dismiss as between the settling parties is denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 16th day of March, 2007.

DAVID FOLSOM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE